



MSUNDUZI DRAFT 2016-7 SDF ASSESSMENT FEEDBACK

13th May 2016

Larry Sanders (Spatial Planning Directorate)

PRESENTATION OUTLINE

- 1. Assessment Process
- 2. Objectives of the SDF assessment
- 3. Scope of assessment
- 4. Draft SDF submission (2016/17)
- 5. Main observations
- 6. Main recommendations





1. ASSESSMENT PROCESS

All municipalities were requested to submit **comprehensive** and colour copies of their Draft 2016/17 SDFs and to have completed self-assessment templates.

The assessment of the submitted municipal Draft SDFs was carried out internally by COGTA Spatial Planning Directorate planners using the assessment criteria (as part of municipal monitoring function).

The assessment criteria used were derived from MSA (32 of 2000) and its accompanying LGPMR (Reg. 796 of 2001), as well as SPLUMA (16 of 2013).

BACK TO BASICS: SERVING OUR COMMUNITIES BETTER PAR



2. OBJECTIVES OF THE SDF ASSESSMENT

- Identify gaps in the Draft SDFs
- Assist municipalities with the formulation of legally compliant SDFs/(credible SDFs);
- Provide guidance to municipalities in respect of alignment of SDFs with national and provincial spatial planning directives;
- Improve strategic planning processes and the integration of budgeting (CIF).
- Improve SDFs in order to inform the introduction of wall-to-wall Schemes.
- Improve the strategic focus of the SDFs.



3. SCOPE OF ASSESSMENT

- 1. Submission of the Draft SDF and Self Assessment template
- 2. Legal compliance: establish the degree of compliance with SPLUMA and MSA
- 3. Vision and spatial restructuring components: establish the SDF strategic focus and its alignment with the long term development strategy
- **4. Budget alignment**: alignment of the SDF with capital budgets (i.e. Capital Investment Framework/ Plan).
- 5. Alignment with national and provincial spatial policy and guidelines: (e.g PGDS, NDP and IUDF).





4. MAIN OBSERVATIONS esp wrt compliance

The SDF is commended for its:

- fresh design-orientated and integrated approach with a strong accent on sustainability, mobility, live-ability, and economic growth to 2050: the longest horizon of any SDF within the district;
- the clever way in which its core thrusts are associated with municipal departments for purposes of implementation;
- comprehensive implementation strategy, but that implementation does now need to be monitored, by use of date-specific targets and supporting budget allocations (as noted on P200) for the listed projects, and reported on;

BACK TO BASICS: SERVING OUR COMMUNITIES BETTER



- accent upon higher residential density and compactness, although no Urban or Development Edge was delineated and SDF stated no adopted policies to secure the desired containment;
- environmental analysis, but this could be significantly enhanced by use of the latest information from KznWildlife;
- demonstrated alignment with certain National and Provincial legislation, but SDF does need to be enhanced to comply with Spluma & bearing in mind the IUDF guidelines;

BACK TO BASICS: SERVING OUR COMMUNITIES BETTER



- giving close attention to the integration of previously disadvantaged areas;
- comprehensive economic and demographic analyses and their application to inform the substance of the SDF;
- provision of broad guidelines for the development of a scheme;





Areas of compliance which need attention are:

- Although the SDF provided a list of intended capital projects, it didn't have an associated Capital Investment Plan/ Framework, so vital to comprehensive planning. Municipal planning function: Sector depts should follow municipal lead to give effect to municipal plans: their budgeted projects should be depicted in SDF maps;
- Although the SDF's Annex B examined alignment with SEA indicators, this did not constitute a **strategic** assessment of the environmental impact of the SDF, were it to be implemented;



- There was public consultation on the SDF, but the document contained no reference to, and provided no evidence of, such consultation: it should;
- The SDF needs to deal with, and depict, the following for the next 5-year period:
 - municipal form;
 - population growth estimates;
 - areas/ sites of economic activity;
 - engineering infrastructure and services;





5. MAIN RECOMMENDATIONS

- Develop a Capital Investment Framework/ Plan which is reflected in the SDF and related mapping of projects.
- Capital Investment Framework must include Provincial and National department projects and associated funding, and budget should be depicted spatially.
- Develop a strategic assessment of the environmental impact of the SDF.
- Revise the SDF to make it Spluma compliant





5. MAIN RECOMMENDATIONS (cont)

- Develop and delineate Urban Edges or Development Edges and develop and apply policies which will secure those;
- Develop date-specific targets, together with associated budget and other resource allocations, for SDF implementation and report to Council on progress at set appropriate intervals;





6. CONCLUSION

Cogta planners stand ready to meet with, and work with, municipal staff on these subjects and also with other agencies whose responsibilities bear upon municipal planning.





SIYABONGA / THANK YOU





SPLUMA Section 21 Requirements

Content of Municipal Spatial Development Framework:

- (a) Give effect to development principles in Chapter 2 (MSA),
- (b) Spatial development plan for municipal form within next five years (MSA),
- (c) Spatial Development **Vision Statement** and desired growth pattern for the next 10-20 years **(MSA)**,
- (d) Significant current and future **Structuring Elements** e.g. Corridors, nodes, public and private investment **(MSA)**,
- (e) **Population Growth Estimates** for next five years,
- (f) Housing demand for various sectors, location and densities including a Density Framework,
- (g) Employment trends and economic activity and locations for the next five years,
- (h) Location of **engineering infrastructure and services required** over the next five years **(MSA)**,





- (i) Designated areas where **National and Provincial Inclusionary housing policy** may be applicable,
- (j) Strategic assessment of the environmental pressures and opportunities (e.g. Eco-Tourism) as well as environmental sensitivities and potential (e.g.. Agriculture) (MSA),
- (k) Locality of areas where **incremental upgrading approaches** will be followed **(MSA)**,
- (I) Identify areas where more detailed local plans are required and/or shortened development procedures may be applicable,
- (m) Spatial expression of sectoral **integration and alignment of municipal departments** (MSA),





- (n) Capital Investment Framework depicted spatially (MSA),
- (o) Purpose, Impact and Structure of Municipal Land Use Scheme Guidelines for LUMS (MSA),
- (p) Implementation plan, comprising:
 - Sectoral Requirements for budgets and resources, including targets and monitoring indicators.
 - Necessary amendments to land use schemes;
 - Institutional arrangements for implementation (including partnerships), and
 - Implementation monitoring targets and dates





INTEGRATED URBAN DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK

The IUDF seeks to foster a shared understanding across government and society about how best to manage urbanisation and achieve the goals of economic development, job creation and improved living conditions for our people.

STATEGIC GOALS
Access
Growth
Governance
Spatial transformation

8 LEVERS		
Spatial planning	Land governance	
Transport and mobility	Economic development	
Human settlements	Empowered communities	
Urban infrastructure	Urban goverance	

3 CROSS CUTTING ISSUES
1. Rural-urban interdependency
2. Disaster risk reduction and
climate change
3. Urban safety

Source: http://www.iudf.net/

